

**ORDER SHEET****WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL****Present-**

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag  
& The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No – OA 305 OF 2012

**SUBHAMOY BAGCHI** Vs **The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p>6</p> <hr/> <p>10.04.2018</p>	<p>For the Applicant : Mr. M.N. Ray Learned Advocate</p> <p>For the State Respondent: Mrs. Susmita Mukherjee Learned Advocate</p> <p>The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents to give appointment to the applicant on compassionate ground on setting aside letter dated March 9, 2011 issued by the respondent No. 2, by which prayer of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected.</p> <p>It appears from the materials on record that one Ratna Bagchi, mother of the applicant, died while she was in government service. The present applicant submitted application for compassionate appointment which was considered and ultimately rejected. The order of rejection was communicated to the applicant under letter dated March 9, 2011 (Annexure “D” to the original application) which is under challenge in the present application.</p> <p>Mr. M.N. Roy, Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has not contacted him for long time and as such, he is not in a position to make any</p>	

ORDER SHEET

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
SCN.	<p>submission. Mrs. Susmita Mukherjee, Learned Counsel representing the State respondents has referred to paragraph 4(vii) of reply and submitted that the father of the applicant was a school teacher at the time of death of the mother of the applicant. She has further pointed out from the reply that the father used to get family pension apart from salary after the death of the mother of the applicant. These facts have been rightly reflected in the letter dated March 12, 2011 by which the applicant was communicated the reasons for rejection of prayer for compassionate appointment. Since the father of the applicant was employed as a school teacher and since the father of the applicant also used to get family pension after death of the mother of the applicant, we are of the view that there was no financial crisis in the family of the deceased employee for which compassionate appointment can be given to the applicant.</p> <p>In view of our above findings, the prayer for compassionate appointment of the applicant was rightly rejected. The original application is dismissed.</p> <p>Let a plain copy of the order be supplied to both parties.</p> <p>(S.K. Das) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>(R.K. Bag) MEMBER (J)</p>	